	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/697	,592	KELLEY ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		ner	Art Unit
	William	n M. Treat	2181
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) William M. Treat.	(3)	·	
(2) Christopher S.Chow.	(4)	·	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2006	Time	:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's repi No	resentative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 and 112, 2nd			
Claims discussed: independent claims and claim 23			
Prior art documents discussed: Ginsberg et al. (Patent No. 6,175,916)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	: GENERAL NA	TURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examir parate record of	ner will provide a writt f the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicar	nt's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested wording (set forth in the attached examiner's amendment) for amendments to the independent claims to clarify them and allow them to distinguish over the prior art of record. He also suggested that the proposed amendment to independent claim 18 might render dependent claim 23 superflous. Applicants' representative agreed to the proposed amendments..